

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. But 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,765	11/03/2003	John Gandy	20509.023	4233
42922	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WHITAKER, CHALK, SWINDLE & SAWYER, LLP			KOEHLER, ROBERT R	
3500 CHY CH 301 COMMEI	ENTER TOWER II RCE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT WORT	H, TX 76102-4186		1775	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· N		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,765	GANDY, JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert R. Koehler	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stand yreply received by the Office later than three months after the repart of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may I. I reply within the statutory minimum of I riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mature, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on F	ebruary 17, 2004 (Prel. An	<u>ndt.)</u> .	
	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,17,18 and 20-25</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 19</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2004 is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	led Office Action of form F10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		A collection No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu</li> </ol>		en received in triis National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	not received.	
YARY			
6-22-05			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	′	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11032003.	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06212005	

HU

Art Unit: 1775

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings were received on July 29, 2004. These drawings are not acceptable.

- 1. The drawings are objected to because longitudinal roller set 20 is not shown in Figure
  2. See lines 9 to 11 on page 15 of the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with
  37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the
  application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing
  on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure
  or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing
  figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,
  and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made
  to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional
  replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each
  drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin
  as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are
  not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required
  corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in
  abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Element 19 in Figure 2 is not mentioned in the specification. See lines 9 to 15 on page 15 of the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the

Art Unit: 1775

immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 4 contains an incorrect spelling of "austenite." The word "austerite" should be changed to "austenite." Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Double Patenting**

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 15 be found allowable, claim 19 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing,

Art Unit: 1775

despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

2. Applicant is advised that should claim 17 be found allowable, claim 20 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 4 to 14, 17, 18, and 20 to 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,820,703 (Suzuki, et al.).

Suzuki, et al. discloses a production method of a low carbon martensitic stainless steel pipe for use in the petroleum, natural gas, and petrochemical industries. The martensitic stainless steel pipe disclosed by Suzuki, et al. contains the same alloying elements as claimed by the applicant with alloy elemental ranges that overlap applicant's claimed alloy elemental range limits. Note the alloy elemental ranges for chromium and carbon. Prior art which teaches a range within, overlapping, or touching the claimed range anticipates if the prior art range discloses the claimed range with sufficient specificity. See MPEP 2131.03 and *Ex parte Lee*, 31 USPQ2d 1105 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993). The production of stainless steel pipe includes the steps of forming a steel coil which substantially comprises a martensitic metal structure, cutting the hot coil into a predetermined width, shaping continuously both of the steel edges into a

Art Unit: 1775

cylindrical shape, and creating a seam at the steel edges by electric resistance welding to produce a seam-welded steel pipe. See line 19 in column 2 to line 36 in column 3. The seam-welded portion of the stainless steel pipe is reheated in order to reduce non-uniformity occurring at the time of seam welding and to improve the toughness of the seam-welded portion. See line 20 in column 7 to line 39 in column 8.

2. Claims 1 to 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,379,821 B2 (Kushida, et al.).

Kushida, et al. discloses a stainless steel pipe having a full martensitic metal structure or a mixed martensitic phase and a ferritic phase for usage in the petroleum or natural gas industries. The primarily martensitic stainless steel pipe can have a large diameter and be welded by using the SAW method. See lines 11 to 18 in column 1 and lines 25 to 60 in column 14. Although the applicant claims a stainless steel pipe having a seam region that is welded by an electric resistance welding technique, the stainless steel pipe as disclosed by Kushida, et al. would be expected to have the same seam-welded region along its length. The stainless steel pipe containing a martensitic phase and a ferritic phase as disclosed by Kushida, et al. contains the same alloying elements as claimed by the applicant with alloy elemental ranges that overlap applicant's claimed alloy elemental range limits. Note the alloy elemental ranges for chromium and carbon. See line 37 in column 7 to line 5 in column 8 and line 53 in column 8 to line 47 in column 9. Prior art which teaches a range within, overlapping, or touching the claimed range anticipates if the prior art range discloses the claimed range with sufficient specificity. See MPEP 2131.03 and *Exparte Lee*, 31 USPQ2d 1105 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15, 16, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1775

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or reasonably suggest a method of manufacturing a welded stainless steel pipe by using a high frequency induction welding technique wherein the stainless steel pipe is a low carbon dual phase (ferrite plus martensite) stainless steel.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Robert Koehler whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1536**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

KOKUNUL, MELLIJ ROBERT R. KOEHLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1775 June 22, 2005